

RECEIVED

MAY 0 9 2025

APPLICATION FOR AREA VARIANCE

TOWN OF MOREAU BUILDING DEPARTMENT

APPLICANT:	APPEAL #: 20NING DISTRICT: DATE SUBMITTED: 5/9/25 DATE ACCEPTED: HEARING DATE: SEQR Type 1 SEQR Type 2 Unlisted
APPLICANT INFOR 1. Applicant(s):	MATION: Niagara Mohawk Power Corp. d/b/a National Grid
Street Address: City, State, Zip:	Brooklyn, NY 11217
Telephone #: E-mail Address	(929) 928-0966 Fax#:
2. Agent: Street Address City, State, Zip Telephone #: E-mail Address	Troy, NY 12180 518.438.9907, Ext. 257 Fax #:
3. Owner: Street Address City, State, Zip Telephone #: E-mail Address	Albany, NY 12204 (518) 743-2010 Fax #:
RELATIONSHIP TO	THE PROPERTY:
OWNER: Yes	□ No LESSEE: □ Yes □ No AGENT: □ Yes □ No
If an agent, please	attach an Agent Authorization Form.

TOWN OF MOREAU New York

351 REYNOLDS ROAD . MOREAU, NY

CURRENT USE: Pu	blic Utility- Substati	ion ZONING DISTE	RICT: RP- Resource	e Protection
RELIEF SOUGHT: Fr	ont yard setback variance o	f Section 149-26(C) & Attach	ment 7- Schedule of Re	gulations (RP)
AREA VARIANCE RE	QUEST:	•111		
Front Yard Setback	Required Dimension 50'	Proposed Dimension 33'-7"	Difference 16'-5"	% 33%
Side Yard Setback N/A N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A
Rear Yard Setback	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Lot Area	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	new 1,800 SF Subs	R WHICH AN AREA VARIA station Control Buildin		cently
Construction of a	new 1,800 SF Subs			cently
damaged and re	etired building. ariance may be granted of		g, to replace a rec	nstances liste

(3) That the difficulty cannot be alleviated by some practical method feasible for the applicant to pursue.

(4) That there will be no substantial change in the character of the neighborhood or a detriment to the

adjoining properties.

Town of Moreau New York

351 REYNOLDS ROAD . MOREAU, NY

in the dist the distric minimum	variance would not be materially detrimental to the purpose of this chapter or to property trict in which the property is located or otherwise conflict with the description or purpose of the objectives of any plan or policy of the town and that the variance requested is the variance which would alleviate the specific practical difficulty found by the Zoning Board of affect the applicant.
Appeals to	b affect the applicant.
Please descril necessary):	be how your circumstance meets ALL five (5) criteria (please attach additional pages as
Please see	attached legal addendum.
	-
-	
,—————————————————————————————————————	



CHECKLIST OF VARIANCE APPLICATION COMPLETENESS:

		1-57 of the Zoning Chapter of the Town Code, the following in the third that the same is the same of the Town Code, the following in the same is the same of the Town Code, the following is the same of the Town Code, the following is the same of the Town Code, the following is the same of the Town Code, the following is the same of the Town Code, the following is the same of the Town Code, the following is the same of the Town Code, the following is the same of the Town Code, the following is the same of the Town Code, the following is the same of the Town Code, the following is the same of the Town Code, the following is the same of the Town Code, the following is the same of the Town Code, the following is the same of the Town Code, the same of the Sa	information shall be supplied by
Please	com	plete the checklist of required application elements:	
x	1.	Map of the property with a scaled site plan and/or elevations project for which the variance is sought.	necessary to show the proposed
×	2.	Complete narrative response addressing each of the criteria	as specified in this application.
N/A	3.	Financial documentation demonstrating the reasonable oppoperty.	ess of financial return on the
х	4.	Completed and signed Part 1 of the appropriate Environm copies are available at the Building Department in Thttps://www.dec.ny.gov/permits/6191.html.	
	5.	Additional information requested by the Zoning Board of App	peals.
an elec	ctron	rn the original application, with all four pages intact, along ic copy, including required information and documentation a flash drive or emailed to biclerk@townofmoreau.org .	
		application will not be scheduled on the Zoning Board of Appoples have been received by the Town Building Department.	peals agenda until all paper and
is need	ed, p	at the information to be provided is not limited to the space lease use separate sheets and indicate the enclosure number e related space provided on this application.	
Signatu	ıre	Niagara Mohawk Power Corp. d/b/a National Grid, by: James Zucce	olotto, Authorized Signatory
		Applicant (print) DocuSigned by:	
		James Eucolotto	5/8/2025
		Applicant (sign)	Date

Legal Addendum to Application for Area Variance
Town of Moreau Zoning Board of Appeals
Applicant: Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation d/b/a National Grid
Property: 296 Spier Falls Road (a/k/a 203-213 Potter Road), Wilton, New York

Request for an Interpretation of 149 Attachment 7

Section 149-26(c) of the Town of Moreau Zoning Code ("Code") and the Schedule of Regulations for the Resource Protection (RP) District (149 Attachment 7) provides that the minimum front yard setback for a 1-family use is 50 feet. The Schedule is silent as to the required minimum setbacks for other permitted uses within the RP District. "As zoning regulations are in derogation of the common law, they must be strictly construed against the municipality that enacted them and any ambiguity in the language employed must be resolved in favor of the property owner." Subdivisions, Inc. v. Town of Sullivan, 92 A.D.3d 1184, 1185 (3rd Dept 2012); see also Sullivan v. Bd. of Zoning Appeals of City of Albany, 144 A.D.3d 1480, 1482 (3rd Dept 2016); Mamaroneck Beach & Yacht Club, Inc. v. Zoning Bd. of Appeals of Vill. of Mamaroneck, 53 A.D.3d 494, 498 (2rd Dept 2008).

As such, National Grid seeking an interpretation of 149 Attachment 7 and requesting that the ambiguity in the Schedule of Regulations for the RP District be resolved in favor of National Grid, as the property owner. Specifically, National Grid is requesting a determination that the noted setback regulations are inapplicable to a public utility use and are only intended to regulate a 1-family use.

In the alternative, National Grid requests a variance of Code Section 149-26(C) and 149 Attachment 7.

Request for An Area Variance

National Grid is considered a public utility under New York law and is therefore entitled to a relaxed zoning standard. (Matter of Consolidated Edison Co. v. Hoffman, 43 NY2d 598 [1978]). In Consolidated Edison, this State's highest Court determined that the ordinary variance standard is inapplicable and a public utility company applying for a variance need only show that there are "compelling reasons, economic or otherwise," for needing the variance. National Grid respectfully submits this Statement of Intent in support of its application for special use permit and variance relief, and all other necessary approvals under the Consolidated Edison standard. O the National Grid is considered a public utility under New York law and is therefore entitled to a relaxed zoning standard. (Matter of Consolidated Edison Co. v. Hoffman, 43 NY2d 598 [1978]). In Consolidated Edison, this State's highest Court determined that the ordinary variance standard is inapplicable and a public utility company applying for a variance need only show that there are "compelling reasons, economic or otherwise," for needing the variance. National Grid respectfully submits this Addendum in support of its variance application under the Consolidated Edison standard. As set forth in more detail below, there are compelling reasons for needing the variance.

Although National Grid is a public utility and entitled to the relaxed variance standard, the traditional area variance standard also favors relief. Pursuant to New York §267-b(3)(b):

(1) whether an undesirable change will be produced in the character of the neighborhood or a detriment to nearby properties will be created by the granting of the area variance;

Constructing the new 115 kV Control Building within the required front yard will not create an undesirable change in the character of the neighborhood. This site has existed as a public utility substation for over 60 years. The building is bordered to the south by County Road 24, to the north by the Hudson River, and to the east and west, by over 100 acres of public utility fee owned property (National Grid and Erie Boulevard Hydropower LP). Further, there are structures currently situated within the existing Substation which are located closer to the front property line than the proposed Control Building. The existing 34.5 kV control building is approximately 26 feet from the front property line, as such, the proposed 115 kV Control Building, setback at 33 feet-7 inches will be in line with the existing conditions, and further, will not be increasing the existing non-conformity.

(2) whether the benefit sought by the applicant can be achieved by some method, feasible for the applicant to pursue, other than an area variance;

Given the narrow condition of the parcel, National Grid has no other feasible option for the location of the proposed 115 kV Control Building. The proposed building is replacing a control building that is no longer functional due to a recent fire. In designing the new 115 kV Control Building, National Grid engineers evaluated the site and determined that the proposed location was the best possible option for compliance with engineering and safety standards. The engineers even considered the unique nature of the parcel and deviated from standard control building dimensions to create a building that was elongated and narrower than typical designs.

(3) whether the requested area variance is substantial;

The Schedule of Regulations for the Resource Protection District (149 Attachment 7) provides that the minimum front yard setback is 50 feet, where the 115 kV Control Building is proposed at 33 feet-7 inches from the front property line. The requested variance is not substantial, as it is an approximately 33% deviation from the Code's requirement. Further, as there are existing structures already within the required front yard, and also closer to the front property line than the proposed Control Building, National Grid sets forth that the addition of the Control Building would amount to an insignificant change.

(4) whether the proposed variance will have an adverse effect or impact on the physical or environmental conditions in the neighborhood or district; and

The requested variance of the front yard setback will not have an adverse effect or impact on the physical or environmental conditions in the neighborhood or district. The installation of the 1,800 square foot Control Building and associated fencing would be considered a Type II Action under the State Environmental Quality Review Act ("SEQR"), either under 6 CRR-NY 617.5(c)(2) (replacement, rehabilitation or reconstruction of a structure or facility, in kind, on the same site, including upgrading buildings to meet building, energy, or fire codes unless such action meets or exceeds any of the thresholds in section 617.4 of this Part) or 6 CRR-NY 617(c)(9) (construction or expansion of a primary or accessory/appurtenant, nonresidential structure or facility involving less than 4,000 square feet of gross floor area and not involving a change in zoning or a use variance and consistent with local land use controls, but not radio communication or microwave transmission facilities). Therefore, the project is presumed to not have a significant adverse impact on the environment.

(5) whether the alleged difficulty was self-created, which consideration shall be relevant to the decision of the board of appeals, but shall not necessarily preclude the granting of the area variance.

Given the unique narrow nature of the parcel, and its existence as a public utility facility for over 60 years, the proposed variance should not be considered self-created. However, if the Board determines that the relief is self-created, National Grid respectfully sets forth that such self-creation shall not preclude the granting of the area variance.